

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/081,242	02/25/2002	Tatsuya Konagaya	Q68493	2562	
7590 04/21/2006 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			SAFAIPOUR, HOUSHANG		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
<b>G</b> ,			2625		
			DATE MAILED: 04/21/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	oplication No. Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/081,242	KONAGAYA, TA	KONAGAYA, TATSUYA			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Houshang Safaip					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR DELECTION OF THE MAILING NEW PERIOD FOR DELECTION OF THE MAILING NEW PROVINCE OF THE MAILING NEW PERIOD OF THE	NG DATE OF THIS CO CFR 1.136(a). In no event, howe tion. period will apply and will expire S y statute, cause the application to	MMUNICATION.  ver, may a reply be timely filed  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	1					
2a)□		This action is non-fina	ıl.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the appli	cation.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
-	Claim(s) <u>1-7,9-17,19,20 and 22</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) <u>8,18,20 and 21</u> is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirer	nent.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 February 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	7}		Pr L				
Attachmen	t(s) : e of References Cited (PTO-892)	<b>∧</b> [ ]	Interview Commence (DTO 440)				
2) D Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	48)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 10/081,242

Art Unit: 2625

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1, 14, 16, 17, 19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Izumi (U.S. Patent No. 6,650,443).

Regarding claims 1 and 22, Izumi discloses an image reader for reading an image recorded on an original, comprising:

a light source for emitting a light toward said original (fig. 1, lamp 1);

an imaging device for receiving the light emitted from said light source and having passed through said original (fig. 1, CCD 4); and

light-amount regulating means for regulating a light amount of said light source, said light-amount regulating means setting an electric-current value of said light source so as to make

Application/Control Number: 10/081,242 Page 3

Art Unit: 2625

an output value of said imaging device converge within a predetermined range (fig. 1, inverter 7, col. 5, lines 26-40),

wherein the electric-current value of said light source used when reading said image is identical with said electric-current value set by said light-amount regulating means (col. 5, lines 29-36).

Regarding claims 14 and 16, Izumi discloses an image reader according to claim 1, wherein said light source is an electroluminescence element, a coloring layer of which includes the respective coloring layers of red, green, and blue (col. 7, lines 19-22).

Regarding claim 17, Izumi discloses an image reader according to claim 1, wherein said imaging device is an area CCD (fig. 1, CCD 4).

Regarding claim 19, Izumi discloses an image reader according to claim 1, wherein said light-amount regulating means automatically regulates said light amount again when the light amount of said light emitting elements declines by a prescribed amount or more (col. 4, lines 18-29).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 6, 7, 9-13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Izumi (U.S. Patent No. 6,650,443).

Regarding claim 2, Izumi discloses an image reader according to claim 1, wherein said light source includes a plurality of light emitting elements for emitting the lights of red, green and blue, and the light amount of said light source is regulated with respect to the light emitting elements of each color (col. 7, line 20). Izumi does not explicitly disclose that the light emitting elements include infrared light. However using infrared light in film scanning is well known and routinely implemented in the art. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include infrared light in Izumi's apparatus for detecting defects on the image.

Regarding claims 6 and 7, performing fine scanning and adjusting the illumination based on the result of pre-scanning is well known and routinely implemented in the art. Therefore it would have been obvious to utilize this procedure in Izumi's image processing device to increase the speed and efficiency of the scanning because pre scanning is performed much faster than fine scanning

Regarding claim 9, Izumi discloses an image reader according to claim 2, wherein the light amount of said light source is also regulated when adjusting a position of said original, at this time, the electric-current value of said light source being set separately from said electric-current value for reading said image (please refer to the arguments under claim 1).

Regarding claims 10 and 11, to turn on one of the red, green and blue light or to turn on all of the red, green and blue light for reading the original is well known and routinely implemented in the art. Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art to utilize these procedures in Izumi's processing device.

Regarding claim12, Izumi discloses an image reader according to claim 2, wherein said light emitting element is a light emitting diode (please refer to the discussion under claim 2).

Regarding claims 13 and 15, to have light emitting diodes arranged in the matrix form is well known and routinely implemented in the art.

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Izumi (U.S. Patent No. 6,650,443) and further in view of Rubin et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,438,256).

Regarding claim 3, Izumi does not disclose utilizing binary search for regulating the light amount. Rubin et al. discloses such a method for illumination adjustment (col. 8, lines 4-9). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize binary search method described in Rubin's invention in order to adjust illumination level in Izumi's image reading and processing apparatus.

6. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Izumi (U.S. Patent No. 6,650,443) and in view of Rubin et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,438,256) and further in view of Maeshima et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,475,508).

Regarding claim 4, neither Izumi nor Rubin disclose charge storage time of the imaging device to be shorter in an order of the red light, the green light, and the blue light. Maeshima discloses prolonging the storage time of the sensors for the lights with low sensitivity, for example blue color (col. 14, lines 14-28). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the storage time of the imaging device to be shorter for red and green compare with blue in order to have a good color balance.

Regarding claim 5, neither Izumi nor Rubin disclose charge storage time of the imaging device to be shorter in an order of the red light, the green light, and the blue light. Maeshima discloses prolonging the storage time of the sensors for the lights with low sensitivity, for

Application/Control Number: 10/081,242 Page 6

Art Unit: 2625

example blue color (col. 14, lines 14-28). Therefore it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to have the storage time of the imaging device to be shorter for red and green compare with blue in order to have a good color balance.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 8 reciting that electric-current value of the light emitting elements emitting the infrared light is set to a constant value is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 18, 20 and 21 reciting displaying an error message when the light amount of the light emitting elements declines by a prescribed amount or more is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Houshang Safaipour whose telephone number is (571)272-7412. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 6:00am to 2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Moore can be reached on (571)272-7437. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.



Application/Control Number: 10/081,242 Page 7

Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Houshang Safaipour Patent Examiner April 17, 2006

Pi